



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,591	10/28/2003	Marko Vehkamaki	SEPP24.004AUS	7184
20995 7590 02/05/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER STOUFFER, KELLY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/05/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/05/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/696,591

Applicant(s)

VEHKAMAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Kelly Stouffer

Art Unit

1762

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, and 15-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13, 15-20, and 22-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 18 December 2006, with respect to the objection of the specification have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the specification has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, filed 18 December 2006, with respect to the 35 USC 112 rejections of claims 5, 16, and 13 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the evidence given. The 35 USC 112 rejections of claims 5, 16, and 13 have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-10, and 22-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment. However, the applicant's argument that Gordon et al. does not teach making a bismuth oxide film by ALD is not persuasive. Though Gordon et al. gives a bismuth oxide film as one of the many examples in Table 1, it still discloses making a bismuth oxide film.

Applicant's arguments filed 18 December 2006 with respect to claims 5, 11-14, 15-20, 25-27 and 31-33 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to combine Gordon et al. and Hintermaier et al. as Gordon et al. teaches an ALD process and Hintermaier et al. teaches a CVD process. Further, the applicant argues that one would not have a reasonable expectation of success when combining the two references. Gordon et al. teaches both an ALD and a CVD process using the same precursors for both ALD and CVD

Art Unit: 1762

throughout the process. According to Gordon et al., one of ordinary skill in the art to use ALD over CVD because ALD provides improved stop coverage and thickness uniformity over CVD (column 1 lines 40-50) and ALD provides control over the deposition process and is suitable for use in a wide range of reaction conditions and reaction reactant reactivity (column 7 lines 32-36). According to Hintermaier et al., the precursor used in CVD first deposits on the surface of a substrate, with or without the added reactive oxygen or other gases (column 2 lines 17-35). One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that depositing the precursor of Hintermaier et al. without other reactive gases is analogous to the first step of the ALD process of Gordon et al. of depositing the first reactant (column 21 lines 20-40). Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would expect a reasonable expectation for success, as the CVD precursor of Hintermaier et al. can be deposited as it would be in an ALD process.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 1762

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-13, 15-20, 22- 27 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al. PCT publication WO02/27063 in view of US Patent number 6177135 to Hintermaier et al.

Regarding claim 1, Gordon et al. discloses a process for producing a bismuth-containing oxide thin film by Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) on page 1 lines 1-3 and 23-24. The organic bismuth compound containing at least one silylamido ligand as a source material for the thin film is described in Table 1 Compound 15 on page 16. Gordon et al. includes tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido bismuth (III) compounds. Gordon et al. does not specify the structure of the oxide films. Hintermaier et al. teaches in column 6 lines 55-63 that the ternary or multicomponent oxide films could have various structures, including $(\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_2)(\text{Bi}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{10})$ and the bismuth compounds could be a tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido bismuth (III) compound in column 6 lines 33-37. Hintermaier et al. also teaches that these films are useful in forming non-volatile memories due to their ferroelectric properties in column 1 lines 35-41 and column 6 lines 63-66.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to include the structure of the multicomponent

Art Unit: 1762

or ternary oxide films as $\text{Bi}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and the use of tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido) bismuth (III) compounds as taught by Hintermaier et al. in order to provide oxide films having ferroelectric properties which are useful for forming non-volatile memory devices.

With regard to claims 2 and 3, Gordon et al. shows a bismuth compound in Table 1 Compound 15 on page 16 that is a tris(bis(trialkylsilyl)amido) bismuth (III) compound in which each alkyl has 1 to 4 carbon atoms and each alkyl is the same at least as broadly recited in claims 2 and 3.

With regard to claims 4, 8, and 9 Gordon et al. is described in paragraph 4 above and includes a method for making bismuth oxide thin films with a bismuth metal source with ligands that include a silylamide group with 3 attached groups "R". Gordon et al. does not disclose the "R" groups on the silylamide as being different, or as an aryl, phenyl, alkylaryl, or halogenated carbocyclic group.

Claims 4, 8, and 9 of the applicant require that the "R" groups attached to the silylamide ligand of the bismuth are either different, an aryl carbocyclic group, or an alkylaryl, or halogenated carbocyclic group, respectively. The bismuth silylamide compound with any of these "R" groups will have a similar structure to the bismuth silylamide compound disclosed by Gordon et al. in Table 1

Compound 16 with methyl as the 3 "R" groups. See *In re Payne*, 606 F.2d 303, 313, 203 USPQ 245, 254 (CCPA 1979), *In re Papesch*, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ

43 (CCPA 1963), and *In re Dillon*, 919 F.2d 688, 16 USPQ2d 1897 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to include a bismuth silylamide complex with "R" groups that are different, or an aryl, phenyl, alkylaryl, or halogenated carbocyclic group because these compounds have the same basic structure as the compound taught by Gordon et al. and hence would have similar properties. As such, one would reasonably expect use of these structurally similar compounds to be operable as precursors for forming the bismuth oxide films.

For claims 5, 13, 16, and 33 Gordon et al. is described above and includes tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido bismuth (III) compounds. Gordon et al. does not specify the structure of the oxide films. Hintermaier et al. teaches in column 6 lines 55-63 that the ternary or multicomponent oxide films could have various structures, including $(\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_2)(\text{Bi}_2\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{10})$ and the bismuth compounds could be a tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido bismuth (III) compound in column 6 lines 33-37. Hintermaier et al. also teaches that these films are useful in forming non-volatile memories due to their ferroelectric properties in column 1 lines 35-41 and column 6 lines 63-66.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to include the structure of the multicomponent or ternary oxide films as $\text{Bi}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$ and the use of tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido bismuth (III) compounds as taught by Hintermaier et al. in order to provide oxide

Art Unit: 1762

films having ferroelectric properties which are useful for forming non-volatile memory devices.

With regard to claims 6 and 7, Gordon et al. discloses a bismuth compound in Table 1 Compound 15 on page 16 that has 3 silylamido ligands with the form $-N(SR^1R^2R^3)_2$ where R^1 , R^2 , and R^3 are methyl groups, methyl being a linear C_1 - C_{20} alkyl. Gordon et al. meets all the recitations of claims 6 and 7, at least as broadly recited in claims 6 and 7.

With regard to claim 10, Gordon et al. discloses a method for producing a bismuth-containing oxide thin film on a substrate by Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) on page 1 lines 1-3 and 23-24. The organic bismuth compound containing at least one silylamido ligand as a source material for the thin film is described in Table 1 Compound 15 on page 16. The source material is fed in to a reaction space, or heated deposition chamber 110 in Figure 1, in the vapor phase, or reactant vapor 30 in Figure 1. The applicant claims that an oxygen source material capable of forming an oxide with the bismuth source material is pumped into the reaction space. Gordon et al. discloses in pages 9-10 lines 19-22 and 1, respectively, that water vapor or an alcohol is pumped alternately to the vapor of the bismuth source material to act as the oxygen source in reaction chamber 110 (Figure 1) as described on page 24 lines 3-6 and pages 28-29 lines 23-25 and 1-17, respectively. Gordon et al. includes tris(bis(trimethylsilyl)amido bismuth (III) compounds. Gordon et al. does not specify the structure of the oxide films.

Art Unit: 1762

Hintermaier et al. teaches in column 6 lines 55-63 that the films are multicomponent oxide films in column 6 lines 33-37 useful in forming non-volatile memories due to their ferroelectric properties in column 1 lines 35-41 and column 6 lines 63-66.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to include the films as multicomponent as taught by Hintermaier et al. in order to provide oxide films having ferroelectric properties which are useful for forming non-volatile memory devices.

With regard to claims 11, 19-20 and 26-27 Gordon et al. includes a method for producing a bismuth-containing oxide thin film on a substrate by Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD) on page 1 lines 1-3 and 23-24. Gordon et al. includes the product of the feeding and pulsing of gases that may be described as ternary or multicomponent on page 3 lines 18-19 and page 10 lines 2-5 with more than one source material to form more than one oxide. The source materials are fed in to a reaction space, or heated deposition chamber 110 in Figure 1, in the vapor phase, or reactant vapor 30 in Figure 1. The applicant claims that an oxygen source material capable of forming an oxide with the bismuth source material is pumped into the reaction space. Gordon et al. discloses on page 9 lines 19-21 that water vapor or an alcohol is pumped alternately to the vapor of the bismuth source material to act as the oxygen source in reaction chamber 110 (Figure 1) as described on page 24 lines 3-6 and pages 28 and 29 lines 23-25 and 1-17. Gordon et al. describes the vapor pulses

in the order of first source material, second source material, and oxygen source material as alternating on page 9 lines 19-21. The organic bismuth compound containing at least one silylamido ligand as a source material for the thin film is described in Table 1 Compound 15 on page 16. The second metal source material can be at least any other metal, including volatile main-group metals and transition metals from Table 1 as described on page 10 line 1. The second metal source is comprised as one or more reactants from containing groups 1-14 of a periodic table as shown in Table 1. Gordon et al. also includes that metal alkyls, or metal organic compounds in Table 2 and metal halides may be used in the practice of the invention on page 20 lines 6-8 and page 23 lines 2-4, respectively. Gordon et al. also discloses that metal or metalloid alkoxy compounds may also be useful on page 22 lines 9-11. Alkyl amino compounds for use in the invention are described in Table 1. Gordon does not explicitly disclose combining a bismuth compound with a silylamido ligand with at least a second metal precursor to form a Bi-containing multicomponent oxide as required in claims 11, 14, 19-20, and 26-27. Hintermaier et al. teaches forming Bi-containing multicomponent oxides from a Bi compound with a silylamido ligand and a second metal precursor in column 6 lines 25-32 and 55-67 in order to make oxide films with ferroelectric properties that can be used in non-volatile memory devices as discussed above. One would have been motivated to make these films using ALD given the advantages for ALD over CVD taught by Gordon et al. on page 1.

Art Unit: 1762

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to specify the first metal material as the Bi containing silylamide compound he discloses in Table 1 Compound 16 and the second metal source material as one of the compounds disclosed belonging to the groups 1-14 of the periodic table, halides, metal organic compounds, alkoxies, or alkylaminos in order to provide oxide films having ferroelectric properties which are useful for forming non-volatile memory devices.

With regard to claims 12 and 15 Gordon et al. include a process for making ternary and multicomponent thin films using a bismuth metal source with at least one silylamide ligand and another metal. Gordon et al. does not include the second metal source as an oxide of copper, titanium, tantalum, calcium or strontium. Hintermaier et al. teaches Bi containing multicomponent oxides containing metals such as Sr, Ta, and Ti are useful for their ferroelectric properties as established above and in column 2 lines 62-66.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include Sr, Ta, and/or Ti precursors as the second metal source in the ALD process of Gordon et al. because to do so would have reasonably been expected to provide the desirable ferroelectric Bi-containing multicomponent oxides useful in non-volatile memory devices.

With regard to claims 17 and 18 Gordon et al. includes a method for making bismuth oxide thin films that are deposited on a substrate. Gordon et al.

Art Unit: 1762

does not specify the temperature of the substrate. Hintermaier et al. teaches the substrate temperature to be below 400 °C in order to control the decomposition mechanism of the bismuth amides on the substrate in column 6 lines 10-16.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to include the temperature of the substrate as less than 250 °C or 150-220 °C as taught by Hintermaier et al. in order to control the mechanism of the surface reaction to be a favorable decomposition mechanism of the bismuth amides and subsequent deposition of bismuth oxides.

Claim 22 discloses the oxygen source to be one of water, oxygen, hydrogen peroxide, et seq. Gordon et al. discloses the oxygen source material to be water or alcohol on pages 9-10 lines 19-22. Gordon et al. meets all the recitations of claim 22, at least as broadly recited in claim 22.

With regard to claim 23, Gordon et al. includes a carrier gas that is used to speed the flow of reactants in the reaction chamber and purge reaction byproducts and un-reacted reactant vapor on page 29 lines 18-20. Gordon et al. does not explicitly state that the inert gas is used to purge the reactant byproducts in between pulses, though one of ordinary skill in the art would realize it is implicitly stated.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to explicitly state that the inert gas flows in

Art Unit: 1762

between pulses of reactants in order to purge reaction byproducts and unreacted reactant vapor.

With regard to claim 24, Gordon et al. discloses on page 29 lines 18-20 the vapor phase pulse of the organic bismuth compound is mixed with a carrier gas when fed into deposition chamber 110 in Figure 1, also known as reaction space. Gordon et al. meets all the recitations of claim 24, at least as broadly recited in claim 24.

With regard to claims 25 and 31-32 Gordon et al. includes a method for making bismuth oxide thin films with an additional metal oxide in the film. Gordon et al. also includes an organic bismuth compound with at least one bis(trialkylsilyl)amido ligand that may be combined with a second metal source material and oxygen source material by ALD by feeding alternate pulses of the reactants in to the reaction space to form a multicomponent oxide film. Gordon et al. does not anneal the two oxides together to create a ferroelectric phase. Hintermaier et al. teaches that more than one metal oxide can be annealed together to create a ferroelectric phase in column 6 lines 25-33 and 63-67 that will be in the presence of an oxygen-containing gas due to oxidizers used such as H_2O_2 .

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. to include annealing two metal oxides together in the presence of oxygen to form a ferroelectric phase as taught by Hintermaier

Art Unit: 1762

et al. in order to make a film with ferroelectric properties and a ferroelectric phase that will be useful in non-volatile memory devices as discussed above.

Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al. in view of Hintermaier et al. as applied above, and further in view of US Patent Application Publication 2003/0124875 to Kil.

Gordon et al. and Hintermaier et al. are described above and include vapor pulses of two metal sources and an oxygen source to deposit a multicomponent oxide by ALD. Gordon et al. and Hintermaier et al. do not explicitly disclose including an oxygen pulse in between each vapor pulse of a metal source. Kil teaches an ALD process for forming multicomponent oxide films comprising alternating a pulse of each vapor source with a pulse of the oxygen source material in column 2 lines 1-18 to allow precise control of the composition of the multicomponent oxide film without changing the feeding ratios of the vapor sources as described in column 3 lines 26-33.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Gordon et al. and Hintermaier et al. to include an oxygen pulse in between each vapor pulse of a metal source as taught by Kil in order to allow precise control of the composition of the multicomponent oxide film without changing the feeding ratios of the vapor sources.

Art Unit: 1762

With regard to claims 29 and 30, Gordon et al. includes two metal sources and an oxygen source pulse including a bismuth compound with a silylamide ligand that will be deposited on a substrate by ALD to form a multicomponent or ternary metal oxide film. Gordon et al. does not include any ratios of the bismuth metal source cycle to the second metal source cycle or the stoichiometric surplus ratio of bismuth oxide to the second metal oxide in the film. Claims 29 and 30 of the applicant require that the ratio of bismuth precursor cycles to second metal source cycles is from 10:1 to 1:10 or 6:1 to about 1.5:1 and the multicomponent oxide film has a stoichiometric surplus of 1 to 20 atomic percentage of bismuth. The parameters of bismuth to second metal cycle ratio and stoichiometric percentage of bismuth in the film are related because the more times each source is pulsed, the larger the percentage of that source's oxide will be in the film according to Gordon et al. in column 21 lines 21-40. The parameters of bismuth to second metal cycle ratio and stoichiometric percentage of bismuth in the film are result effective variables as stated by Hintermaier et al. in column 3 lines 43-36 to depend upon the particular apparatus and conditions employed in carrying out the method and the importance of these parameters is that they are sufficient to deposit a layer of multicomponent oxide of predictable composition. The optimizations of these two parameters are by routine experimentation and are not inventive. (See *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 234 (CCPA 1955))

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gordon et al. to include the ratio of bismuth precursor

Art Unit: 1762

cycles to second metal source cycles is from 10:1 to 1:10 or 6:1 to about 1.5:1 and the multicomponent oxide film has a stoichiometric surplus of 1 to 20 atomic percentage of bismuth through routine experimentation in order to deposit a multicomponent oxide of a certain composition under particular apparatuses and conditions especially absent evidence showing a criticality for using the claimed bismuth to second metal cycle ratio and stoichiometric percentage of bismuth in the film.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 requires that a second metal source material in a Bi-containing multicomponent film comprises a double metal precursor where each molecule contains two metals in a discrete ratio.

Gordon et al, described above, includes multicomponent oxide films that may include bismuth and another metal source. The second metal source disclosed in Gordon et al. in Tables 1, 2, and 3 does not comprise a double metal precursor as required by claim 21.

Hintermaier et al, described above, includes Bi-containing multicomponent oxide films with a second metal source. The second metal source described in column 3 lines 5-32 does not comprise a double metal precursor as required by claim 21.

Art Unit: 1762

Gordon et al. and Hintermaier et al. do not meet the requirement of a double metal precursor in claim 21, alone or in combination.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The

Art Unit: 1762

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer
Examiner
Art Unit 1762

kms


TIMOTHY MEEKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER